

Carson Extension Outcomes 04/04/05

Package A

1. Up to 6 months of scientific research/Gathering of information by the environmental engineer from the Army Corps of Engineers.
Main issues discussed:
 - limited schedule with enforcement mechanism;
 - Who will do the research?
 - What will be the scientific criteria: will issues of "punishment" will be part of the scientific criteria?

2. Up to extra 6 month for final opinion on the issue if removal of the fill cause more harm than good.
3. Commit now in an agreement to the action that will be taken in each one of the possible out comes.

If removal of the fill expected to cause more harm than good:	<i>If leaving the removal will cause more environmental damage than leaving it in its place:</i>
Land use will be changed to a park, which will be build in a total cost of \$15k	
<i>Investment of \$10k will be made in restoration of the Wetland and rebuilding of the habitat.</i>	

This package was developed first and then put aside while package B, which seemed more attractive was developed.

Package B

Leaving the fill in its place, but with improvements:

Action	Cost	Payer
1. Building a public park on the fill.	\$ 15k	<i>Hills Engineering</i>
2. Build up of the wetland habitat	\$ 10k	<i>Hills Engineering</i>
3. <i>Creating a fund for the city for future extra restoration actions in the wetland and other community use</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>Carson & Army Corps of Engineers</i>

Hills Engineering pays \$ 25k.

Army Corps of Engineers (as a donation to the community, without admitting any liability) - \$ 10k.

Carson Pays: 1. \$ 75k – checked but not voted. Carson opposed firmly.

2. \$ 50k – voted. Not agreed. Only Green Earth opposed.