

These problems are related to the material covered in Lectures 16-17. I have made every effort to proof-read them, but some errors may remain. The first person to spot each error will receive 1-5 points of extra credit.

The problem set is due by the start of class on 11/12/2013 and should be submitted electronically as a pdf-file e-mailed to the instructor. You can use the latex source for this problem set as a template for writing up your solutions; be sure to include your name in your solutions and to identify collaborators and any sources not listed in the syllabus.

### Problem 1. Products of varieties and completeness (50 points)

For topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  we write  $X \times_{\text{top}} Y$  to denote their product as a topological space; if  $\pi_X$  and  $\pi_Y$  are the projection maps, the sets of the form  $\pi_X^{-1}(S)$  and  $\pi_Y^{-1}(S)$  with  $S$  closed generate the closed sets in  $X \times_{\text{top}} Y$  (under intersection and finite unions). For varieties  $X$  and  $Y$  we write  $X \times Y$  to denote the product variety with the Zariski topology, as defined in Lecture 16. In this problem  $k$  denotes an algebraically closed field.

1. Prove that every closed set in  $\mathbb{A}^m \times_{\text{top}} \mathbb{A}^n$  is closed in  $\mathbb{A}^m \times \mathbb{A}^n$ , but that the converse is not true for any  $m$  and  $n$ .
2. Prove that  $\mathbb{A}^m \times \mathbb{A}^n$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^{m+n}$  (for any  $m$  and  $n$ ), but that  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , nor to any subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .
3. Define the map  $\phi: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$  by

$$\phi((x_0 : x_1), (y_0 : y_1)) = [x_0 y_0 : x_0 y_1 : x_1 y_0 : x_1 y_1].$$

Prove that  $\phi$  is a morphism whose image  $V$  is a variety (specify  $I(V)$  explicitly). Then prove that  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \simeq V$  by giving an inverse morphism.

4. Let  $x_0, \dots, x_m$  and  $y_0, \dots, y_n$  be homogeneous coordinates for  $\mathbb{P}^m$  and  $\mathbb{P}^n$  respectively, and for  $0 \leq i \leq m$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n$  let  $z_{ij}$  be homogeneous coordinates for  $\mathbb{P}^N$ , where  $N = (m+1)(n+1) - 1$ . Prove that the *Segre morphism* from  $\varphi: \mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$  defined by  $z_{ij} = x_i y_j$  is an isomorphism to a projective variety  $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ .
5. The field  $\mathbb{C}$  is an affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra (an integral domain finitely generated over  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Show that the tensor product of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  is not an integral domain, hence not an affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra. Thus Lemma 16.4, which states that a tensor product of affine algebras is again an affine algebra, depends on the assumption that  $k$  is algebraically closed. Explain exactly where in the proof this assumption is used.
6. Let  $X$  be a variety. Chevalley's criterion states that if for every variety  $Z \subseteq X$  and every valuation ring  $R$  of  $k(Z)/k$  there is a point  $P \in Z$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{P,Z} \subseteq R$ , then  $X$  is complete. We proved in Lecture 16 that affine varieties of positive dimension are not complete, hence such varieties cannot satisfy Chevalley's criterion. Write down an explicit affine plane curve  $X$  and exhibit  $Z$  and  $R$  for which  $X$  does not satisfy Chevalley's criterion. Then indicate which point or points in the projective closure of  $X$  address this deficiency.

## Problem 2. Valuation rings (50 points)

An *ordered abelian group* is an abelian group  $\Gamma$  with a total order  $\leq$  that is compatible with the group operation. This means that for all  $a, b, c \in \Gamma$  the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned} a \leq b \leq a &\implies a = b && \text{(antisymmetry)} \\ a \leq b \leq c &\implies a \leq c && \text{(transitivity)} \\ a \not\leq b &\implies b \leq a && \text{(totality)} \\ a \leq b &\implies a + c \leq b + c && \text{(compatibility)} \end{aligned}$$

Note that totality implies reflexivity ( $a \leq a$ ). Given an ordered abelian group  $\Gamma$ , we define the relations  $\geq, <, >$  and the sets  $\Gamma_{\leq 0}, \Gamma_{\geq 0}, \Gamma_{< 0}$ , and  $\Gamma_{> 0}$  in the obvious way.

A *valuation*  $v$  on a field  $K$  is a surjective homomorphism  $v: K^\times \rightarrow \Gamma$  to an ordered abelian group  $\Gamma$  that satisfies  $v(x+y) \geq \min(v(x), v(y))$  for all  $x, y \in K^\times$ . The group  $\Gamma$  is called the *value group* of  $v$ , and when  $\Gamma = \{0\}$  we say that  $v$  is the *trivial valuation*.

1. Let  $R$  be a valuation ring with fraction field  $F$ , and let  $v: F^\times \rightarrow F^\times/R^\times = \Gamma$  be the quotient map. Show that the relation  $\leq$  on  $\Gamma$  defined by

$$v(x) \leq v(y) \iff y/x \in R,$$

makes  $\Gamma$  an ordered abelian group and that  $v$  is a valuation on  $F$ .

2. Let  $F$  be a field and let  $v: F^\times \rightarrow \Gamma$  be a non-trivial valuation. Prove that the set

$$R_v := v^{-1}(\Gamma_{\geq 0}) \cup \{0\}$$

is a valuation ring of  $F$  and that  $v(x) \leq v(y) \iff y/x \in R_v$  (note that this includes proving that  $R_v$  is actually a ring).

3. Let  $\Gamma$  an ordered abelian group, let  $k$  be a field, and let  $A$  be the  $k$ -algebra generated by the set of formal symbols  $\{x^a : a \in \Gamma_{\geq 0}\}$ , with multiplication defined by  $x^a x^b = x^{a+b}$ . This means that  $A$  consists of all sums of the form

$$\sum_{a \in \Gamma_{\geq 0}} c_a x^a$$

with  $c_a \in k$  and only finitely many nonzero. Let  $F$  be the fraction field of  $A$  and define the function  $v: F^\times \rightarrow \Gamma$  by

$$v\left(\frac{\sum c_a x^a}{\sum d_a x^a}\right) = \min\{a : c_a \neq 0\} - \min\{a : d_a \neq 0\}.$$

Prove that  $v$  is a valuation of  $F$  with value group  $\Gamma$ .

4. Let  $v: F^\times \rightarrow \Gamma_v$  and  $w: F^\times \rightarrow \Gamma_w$  be two valuations on a field  $F$ , and let  $R_v$  and  $R_w$  be the corresponding valuation rings. Prove that  $R_v = R_w$  if and only if there is an order preserving isomorphism  $\rho: \Gamma_v \rightarrow \Gamma_w$  for which  $\rho \circ v = w$  (in which case we say that  $v$  and  $w$  are equivalent). Thus there is a one-to-one correspondence between valuation rings and equivalence classes of valuations.

5. Let  $D$  be an integral domain that is properly contained in its fraction field  $F$ , and let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the set of local rings that contain  $D$  and are properly contained in  $F$ . Partially order  $\mathcal{R}$  by writing  $R_1 \leq R_2$  if  $R_1 \subseteq R_2$  and the maximal ideal of  $R_1$  is contained in the maximal ideal of  $R_2$ .<sup>1</sup> Zorn's lemma states that any partially ordered set in which every chain has an upper bound contains at least one maximal element. Use this to prove that  $\mathcal{R}$  contains a maximal element  $R$ , and then show that any such  $R$  is a valuation ring (note: the hypothesis of Zorn's lemma is understood to include the empty chain, so you must prove that  $\mathcal{R}$  is nonempty).
6. Prove that every valuation ring  $R$  is *integrally closed*. This means that every element of the fraction field of  $R$  that is the root of a monic polynomial in  $R[x]$  lies in  $R$ .

#### Problem 4. Survey

Complete the following survey by rating each problem on a scale of 1 to 10 according to how interesting you found the problem (1 = "mind-numbing," 10 = "mind-blowing"), and how difficult you found the problem (1 = "trivial," 10 = "brutal"). Also estimate the amount of time you spent on each problem.

	Interest	Difficulty	Time Spent
Problem 1			
Problem 2			

Please rate each of the following lectures that you attended, according to the quality of the material (1="useless", 10="fascinating"), the quality of the presentation (1="epic fail", 10="perfection"), the pace (1="way too slow", 10="way too fast"), and the novelty of the material (1="old hat", 10="all new").

Date	Lecture Topic	Material	Presentation	Pace	Novelty
10/31	Products of varieties, Chevalley's criterion				
11/5	Complete varieties, tangent spaces				

Feel free to record any additional comments you have on the problem sets or lectures; in particular, how you think they might be improved.

---

<sup>1</sup>This is known as the *dominance* ordering.

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

FÌ ÈÌ GQd[ à ~ &ç } Á ÁEã@ ^c&Ö^ [ { ^d^  
Øø 201H

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.