

1. A result from multivariable calculus

Let (s,t) be coordinates on \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open region and let $a_1 < b_1$, $a_2 < b_2$ be real numbers such that the multi-interval $[a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$ is contained in U . Let $y(s, t)$ be a continuously differentiable function on U . Define the function $y(t)$ on $[a_2, b_2]$ by,

$$y(t) = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} y(s, t) ds .$$

Thm 1: The function $y(t)$ is continuously differentiable and $y'(t) = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(s, t) ds$.

The proof is found in most books on multivariable calculus, e.g., Theorem 5.1 of chapter 11 in Corwin + Szczarba, "Multivariable calculus".

Define the function $z(s, t)$ on $[a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$ by,

$$z(s, t) = \int_{a_1}^s y(u, t) du .$$

Cor 2: The function $z(s, t)$ is continuously differentiable and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s}(s, t) = y(s, t)$,

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(s, t) = \int_{a_1}^s \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(u, t) du .$$

Proof: Clearly $z(s, t)$ is continuous. Fix t_0 in $[a_2, b_2]$. By the fundamental theorem of calculus, $z(s, t_0)$ is differentiable and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s}(s, t_0) = y(s, t_0)$. So $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s}$ exists and is continuous. Fix s_0 in $[a_1, b_1]$. By Thm 1,

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(s_0, t) = \int_{a_1}^{s_0} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(u, t) du . \text{ So } \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} \text{ exists and is continuous. Therefore } z(s, t) \text{ is}$$

continuously differentiable and the partial derivatives are $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s}(s, t) = y(s, t)$,

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(s, t) = \int_{a_1}^s \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(u, t) du .$$

Cor 3: Suppose $a_1 = a_2 = a$ and $b_1 = b_2 = b$. The function $z(t) = z(t, t)$ on $[a, b]$ is continuously differentiable and

$$z'(t) = \int_a^t \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(u, t) du + y(t, t).$$

Pf: By the Chain Rule, $z(t, t)$ is continuously, diff. and

$$\frac{d}{dt} z(t) = \frac{\partial z}{\partial s}(t, t) \cdot 1 + \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(t, t) \cdot 1$$

2. The Green's operator

Let $[t_0, t_1)$ be an interval (possibly $t_1 = \infty$), let $a_0(t), a_1(t), \dots, a_n(t)$ be continuous functions on $[t_0, t_1)$, and let $\mathcal{L}y$ be the differential operator,

$$\mathcal{L}y = y^{(n+1)} + a_n(t)y^{(n)} + \dots + a_1(t)y' + a_0(t)y.$$

For each real number s with $t_0 \leq s < t_1$, denote by $\tilde{K}_s(t)$ the unique $(n+1)$ -times continuously differentiable function on $[t_0, t_1)$ that is the solution of the IVP

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} K_s(s) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{L}\tilde{K}_s(t) = 0, \\ \vdots \\ K_s^{(n-1)}(s) = 0 \\ K_s^{(n)}(s) = 1 \end{array} \right.$$

Also, denote by $K_s(t)$ the function on $[t_0, t_1)$,

$$K_s(t) = \begin{cases} \tilde{K}_s(t), & s \leq t < t_1 \\ 0, & t_0 \leq t < s \end{cases}$$

Denote by $K(s, t)$ the function on $[t_0, t_1) \times [t_0, t_1)$ given by $K(s, t) = K_s(t)$. Similarly define $\tilde{K}_s(t) = \tilde{K}(s, t)$.

Prop 4: For each integer $0 \leq m \leq n$, the partial derivative $\frac{\partial^m \tilde{K}}{\partial t^m}(s, t)$ exists and is

continuously differentiable (here $m=0$ gives that $\tilde{K}(s, t)$ is continuously differentiable).

Pf: This is easy to prove, but it will have to wait until we have discussed determinants and Cramer's rule.

Let $f(t)$ be a continuously differentiable function on $[t_0, t_1)$ and define $y_d(t)$ by,

$$y_d(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \tilde{K}(s, t) f(s) ds = \int_{t_0}^t K(s, t) f(s) ds.$$

Prop 5 : The function $y_d(t)$ is $(n+1)$ -times continuously differentiable. Moreover, for $m=1, \dots, n$,

$$y_d^{(m)}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial^m \tilde{K}}{\partial t^m}(s, t) f(s) ds, \quad \text{and}$$

$$y_d^{(n+1)}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial^{n+1} \tilde{K}}{\partial t^{n+1}}(s, t) f(s) ds + f(t).$$

Pf : The proof is by induction. For the base case $y(s, t) = \tilde{K}(s, t) f(s)$ satisfies the hypothesis of Cor 3. Therefore $y_d(t)$ is continuously differentiable and

$$y'_d(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial \tilde{K}}{\partial t}(s, t) f(s) ds + \tilde{K}(t, t) f(t).$$

By definition, $K(t, t) = 0$. Thus $y'_d(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial \tilde{K}}{\partial t}(s, t) f(s) ds$

Now let m be between 1 and n . By induction, assume that y_d is $(m-1)$ – times continuously differentiable, and that $y_d^{(m)}(t)$ exists and $y_d^{(m)}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial^m \tilde{K}}{\partial t^m}(s, t) f(s) ds$.

By Prop 4, $\frac{\partial^m \tilde{K}}{\partial t^m}(s, t) f(s)$ is continuously differentiable. Thus $y_d^{(m)}(t)$ is continuous.

And by Cor 3, $y_d^{(m)}(t)$ is continuously differentiable and

$$y_d^{(m+1)}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial^{m+1} \tilde{K}}{\partial t^{m+1}}(s, t) f(s) ds + \frac{\partial^m \tilde{K}}{\partial t^m}(t, t) f(t).$$

If $m \leq n-1$, then $\frac{\partial^m \tilde{K}}{\partial t^m}(t, t) = 0$ by definition.

In this case $y_d^{(m+1)}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial^{m+1} \tilde{K}}{\partial t^{m+1}}(s, t) f(s) ds$.

In the case $m=n$, $\frac{\partial^n \tilde{K}}{\partial t^n}(t, t) = 1$.

So $y_d^{(n+1)}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial^{n+1} \tilde{K}}{\partial t^{n+1}}(s, t) f(s) ds + f(t)$.

Remark 6: In fact Prop 5 is true if $f(t)$ is only continuous. This can be proved on any bounded interval $[t_0, t_1]$ by taking a sequence of continuously differentiable functions $(f_k(t))$ that convergys uniformly to $f(t)$, applying Prop 5 to each $f_k(t)$ and using standard results about integrals and derivatives of uniform limits.

Def'n 7: The function $K(s, t)$ is called the Green's Kernel and the linear operator from $C^0[t_0, t_1]$ to $C^{n+1}[t_0, t_1]$ defined by

$$G[f](t) = y_d(t), \quad y_d(t) = \int_{t_0}^t K(s, t) f(s) ds = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} K(s, t) f(s) ds$$

is called the Green's Operator (both "associated to L ").

Thm 8: Let $f(t)$ be a continuous function on $[t_0, t_1]$ and let $y_d(t) = G[f](t)$. Then $y_d(t)$ is the unique solution of the IVP

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} L y_d(t) = f(t), \\ y_d(t_0) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ y_d^{(n)}(t_0) = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Proof: By Prop 5, $y_d(t)$ is $(n+1)$ – times continuously differentiable. From the equations for the first n derivatives of y_d , $y_d^{(m)}(t_0) = 0$ for $m=0, \dots, n$. Finally, by the equations of the derivatives of y_d in Prop 5,

$$L y_d(t) = a_0(t)y_d + a_1(t)y_d' + \dots + a_n(t)y_d^{(n)} + y_d^{(n+1)}, \text{ equals}$$

$$a_0(t) \int_{t_0}^t \tilde{K}(s,t) f(s) ds + \dots + a_n(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial^n \tilde{K}}{\partial t^n}(s,t) f(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial^{n+1} \tilde{K}}{\partial t^{n+1}}(s,t) f(s) ds + f(t), \text{ equals}$$

$$\int_{t_0}^t \left(a_0(t) \tilde{K}(s,t) + a_1(t) \frac{\partial \tilde{K}}{\partial t}(s,t) + \dots + a_n(t) \frac{\partial^n \tilde{K}}{\partial t^n}(s,t) + \frac{\partial^{n+1} \tilde{K}}{\partial t^{n+1}}(s,t) \right) f(s) ds + f(t).$$

But for each s with $t_0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$a_0(t) \tilde{K}(s,t) + \dots + a_n(t) \frac{\partial^n \tilde{K}}{\partial t^n}(s,t) + \frac{\partial^{n+1} \tilde{K}}{\partial t^{n+1}}(s,t) = L \tilde{K}_s(t) \text{ which equals } 0 \text{ by definition.}$$

$$\text{So } L y_d(t) = \int_{t_0}^t 0 \cdot f(s) ds + f(t) = 0 + f(t) = f(t).$$

Therefore $y_d(t)$ is the solution of the IVP.

Remark 9: Even if $f(t)$ isn't continuous, the Green's operator $G[f]$ is often defined and is the best candidate for a solution of the IVP. For linear PDE's, a Green's operator is also defined and plays a central role in the study of linear PDE's.

3. Examples : (A) Let $Ly = y^{(n+1)}$. Then $\tilde{K}_s(t) = \frac{(t-s)^n}{n!}$.

$$\text{So } G[f] = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(t-s)^n}{n!} f(s) ds.$$

On the other hand, a solution of the IVP is clearly the integrated integral

$$\int_{t_0}^t \left(\dots \int_{t_0}^{u_{n-1}} \left(\int_{t_0}^{u_n} f(u_{n+1}) du_{n+1} \right) du_n \dots \right) du_1.$$

Therefore, we have proved the identity

$$\int_{t_0}^t \frac{(t-s)^n}{n!} f(s) ds = \int_{t_0}^t \left(\int_{t_0}^{u_1} \left(\dots \int_{t_0}^{u_{n-1}} \left(\int_{t_0}^{u_n} f(u_{n+1}) du_{n+1} \right) du_n \dots \right) du_2 \right) du_1$$

(B) Let $n=1$, $Ly = y'' + a_1(t)y' + a_0(t)y$. Let $(y_1(t), y_2(t))$ be a basic part of $Ly=0$.

Then $\tilde{K}_s(t) = \frac{y_1(s)y_2(t) - y_2(s)y_1(t)}{W[y_1, y_2](s)}$ is a solution of $L\tilde{K}_s(s)=0$. Also

$\tilde{K}_s(s)=0$ and $\tilde{K}'_s(s)=1$. So the Green's Kernel is $\tilde{K}_s(s, t) = \frac{y_1(s)y_2(t) - y_2(s)y_1(t)}{W[y_1, y_2](s)}$, and

the Green's Operator is

$$y_d(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{[y_1(s)y_2(t) - y_1(t)y_2(s)]}{W[y_1, y_2](s)} F(s) ds.$$

This is the same formula derived by "variation of parameters".

(C) Let Ly be a constant coefficient differential operator, i.e. $Ly = p(D)y$ for some polynomial $p(Z)$. Observe that for any fixed number s , $p(D)(y(t-s)) = (p(D)y)(t-s)$. So if $y(t)$ is a solution of $p(D)y=0$, also $y(t-s)$ is a solution.

Let $\tilde{k}(t) = \tilde{K}_0(t)$. Then for every s ,

$$\tilde{k}(t-s) \text{ is a solution of the IVP } \begin{cases} \tilde{K}_s(s)=0 \\ L\tilde{K}_s=0, \\ \tilde{K}_s^{(n)}(s)=0 \\ \tilde{K}_s^{(n+1)}(s)=1 \end{cases}$$

So $\tilde{K}_s(t) = \tilde{k}(t-s)$. Similarly, define $k(t) = K_0(t)$. Then $K_s(t) = k(t-s)$.

Let $\tilde{f}(t)$ be a continuous function on $[t_0, t_1]$.

$$\text{Define } f(t) = \begin{cases} \tilde{f}(t), & t_0 \leq t < t_1 \\ 0, & t < t_0 \text{ or } t \geq t_1 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Then the solution of the IVP } \begin{cases} \tilde{y}(t_0) = 0 \\ L\tilde{y} = \tilde{f}, \\ \tilde{y}^{(n)}(t_0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{on } [t_0, t_1] \text{ is given by } \tilde{y} = \int_{t_0}^t k(t-s)f(s)ds$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(t-s)f(s)ds = (k * f)(t).$$

So the Green's Operator is $G[\tilde{f}] = (k * f)$.

This is another use of the convolution.

4. Derivation of Theorem 8. Except for a few minor complications, Theorem 8 is straightforward to prove. However, it is difficult to deduce from first principles. Why

should there be a solution of the form $y_d(t) = \int_{t_0}^t K(s,t)f(s)ds$?

Why should $K(s, t)$ satisfy the initial value problem that it does?

The most direct answer to both questions uses the Direct delta function R . The main observation is the following.

Observation. Let $f(s, t)$ be a continuous function on $[s_0, s_1] \times [t_0, t_1]$. Let $y(s, t)$ be a function on $[s_0, s_1] \times [t_0, t_1)$ such that $y(s, t), \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(s, t), \dots, \frac{\partial^{n+1} y}{\partial t^{n+1}}(s, t)$ are defined and continuous and s.t. for each s , $L y(s, t) = f(s, t)$, where t is the independent variable.

Then $y(t) = \int_{s_0}^{s_1} y(s, t) ds$ is $(n+1)$ - times continuously differentiable and

$$Ly(t) = \int_{s_0}^{s_1} f(s, t) ds.$$

This is not difficult to prove. Since the proof is not directly relevant, it is omitted.

Now the continuous function is of the form $\int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(s, t) ds$, where $f(s, t) = f(s)R(t-s)$.

Of course $f(s, t)$ is a fictional function that is certainly not continuous. But the observation motivates us to hope we can make sense of the ODE $Ly(s, t) = f(s)R(t-s)$

and that $y(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} y(s, t) ds$ will be a solution of $Ly(t) = f(t)$.

There is one straight forward reduction. Suppose we can make sense of $Ly = R(t-s)$ and let $K(s, t)$ be a solution. Then, by linearity of L , $L(f(s)K(s, t)) = f(s)L K(s, t) = f(s)R(t-s)$. So we can take $y(s, t) = f(s)K(s, t)$ leading to the equation

$$y(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} k(s, t) f(s) ds$$

We need to impose some conditions that are less easy to justify. We consider the IVP

$$\begin{cases} Ly = f(t) \\ y(t_0) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ y^{(n)}(t_0) = 0 \end{cases} . \quad \text{We know that if } f_a \text{ and } f_b \text{ are 2 functions s.t. } f_a(t) = f_b(t) \text{ for } t_0 \leq t \leq t_2, \text{ then } y_a(t) = y_b(t) \text{ for } t_0 \leq t \leq t_2, \text{ i.e. the solution of the IVP on } [t_0, t_2] \text{ depends only on the value of } f \text{ on } [t_0, t_2].$$

Therefore, for $t_0 \leq t \leq t_2$, $\int_{t_0}^{t_1} K(s, t)(f_b(s) - f_a(s)) ds = 0$, i.e. $\int_{t_2}^{t_1} K(s, t)(f_b(s) - f_a(s)) ds = 0$

for $t \leq t_2$. But the difference $f_b(s) - f_a(s)$ can be an arbitrary cts. function that is 0 on $[t_0, t_2]$. Taking $f_b(s) - f_a(s)$ limiting to $R(s-t_3)$ for $t_3 > t_2$ gives the

$\int_{t_2}^{t_1} K(s, t) R(s - t_3) ds = 0$ for $t \geq t_2$, i.e. $K(t_3, t) = 0$ for $t_3 \geq t_2 \geq t$. But now t_3 and t are arbitrary as long as $t_3 > t$. This gives the strong restriction

$$K(s, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } s > t$$

So for $t < s$, $K(s, t) = 0$. Since $R(t-s) = 0$ for $t > s$, also for $t > s$ $K(s, t)$ is a solution of the homog. equation, $L K(s, t) = 0$. If $K(s, t)$ were n -times differentiable in t , it would follow that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \delta^+} \frac{\partial^k K}{\partial t^k}(s, t) = 0$ for $k = 0, \dots, n+1$. This would imply that $K(s, t)$ is the solution of the IVP $LK(s, t) = 0$, $K(s, s) = \dots = K^{(n+1)}(s, s) = 0$, namely $K(s, t) = 0$.

This choice certainly does not lead to a solution of $Ly = f(t)$! Therefore, we should not expect $K(s, t)$ to be $(n+1)$ -times diff. It turns out the best we can hope for is that $K(s, t)$ is $(n-1)$ -times continuously differentiable and $(n+1)$ -times piecewise continuously differentiable.

It may occur to the reader that we need not assume even this. The best response is that this is the assumption that leads to a true theorem. Another justification is nature: real-world systems that are modeled by linear differential operators do exhibit this behavior: If you kick a soccer ball, it does not instantaneously move 10 meters away. Rather the position of the ball is continuous and the velocity of the ball is piecewise continuous.

Since $K, \dots, K^{(n-1)}$ are continuous, for $t > s$ this leads to the conditions,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \delta^+} \frac{\partial^k K}{\partial t^k}(s, t) = 0 \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, n-1. \text{ The only missing piece of information is}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \delta^+} \frac{\partial^n K}{\partial t^n}(s, t). \text{ Let us call this } u(s).$$

To compute this, we reason heuristically as follows. The difference

$$K_s^{(n)}(\delta^+) - K_s^{(n)}(s^-) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{s-\epsilon}^{s+\epsilon} K^{(n+1)}(t) dt$$

Of course $K_s^{(n+1)}(t)$ is only piecewise defined/cts, and it is not defined at $t = s$.

However, our equation says

$$K_s^{(n+1)}(t) + a_n(t)K_s^{(n)}(t) + \dots + a_1(t)K_s'(t) + a_0(t)K_s(t) = R$$

So we can solve for $K_s^{(n+1)}(t)$ and we get,

$$K_s^{(n+1)}(t) = R(t-s) - (a_n(t)K_s^{(n)}(t) + \dots + a_1(t)K_s'(t) + a_0(t)K_s(t))$$

$$\text{So } \int_{s-\epsilon}^{s+\epsilon} K_s^{(n+1)}(t) dt = 1 - \left(\int_{s-\epsilon}^{s+\epsilon} a_n(t)K_s^{(n)}(t) + \dots + a_0(t)K_s(t) dt \right)$$

Now $K_s(t), \dots, K_s^{(n-1)}(t)$ are all approximately 0 for ϵ small, and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{s-\varepsilon}^{s+\varepsilon} (a_0(t)K_s(t) + \dots + a_n(t)K_s^n(t)) dt \text{ is 0. And } \int_{s-\varepsilon}^{s+\varepsilon} a_n(t)K_s^{(n)}(t) dt \approx \varepsilon \cdot a_n(s)U(s).$$

$$\text{So } \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{s-\varepsilon}^{s+\varepsilon} a_n(t)K_s^n(t) dt = 0 \text{ as well.}$$

In the limit, this gives

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{s-\varepsilon}^{s+\varepsilon} K^{(n+1)}(t) dt = \int_{s-\varepsilon}^{s+\varepsilon} R(t-s) dt = 1$$

$$\text{So } u(s) = 1.$$

This leads to the definition that $K_s(t)$ for $t \geq s$ is the unique solution of the IVP,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} L K_s(t) = 0 \\ K_s(s) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ K_s^{(n-1)}(s) = 0 \\ K_s^{(n)}(s) = 1 \end{array} \right. , \quad \text{and } K_s(t) = 0 \text{ for } t < s. \text{ This is the definition that gives the formulation of Theorem 8.}$$