

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Set Theory



6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Axioms

Equality

$$\forall x [x \in y \leftrightarrow x \in z] \rightarrow y = z$$

Power set

$$\forall x \exists p \forall s. s \subseteq x \leftrightarrow s \in p$$



6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Russell's Paradox

$$\text{Let } W ::= \{s \in \text{Sets} \mid s \notin s\}$$

$$\text{so } [s \in W \text{ IFF } s \notin s]$$

Now let s be W , and reach a contradiction:

$$[W \in W \text{ IFF } W \notin W]$$



6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Disaster: Math is broken!

I am the Pope,
Pigs fly,
and verified programs
crash...



6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

...but paradox is buggy

Assumes that W is a set!

$$[s \in W \text{ IFF } s \notin s]$$

for all sets s

...can only substitute
 W for s if W is a set



6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

...but paradox is buggy

Assumes that W is a set!

We can avoid the paradox,
if we deny that W is a set!
...which raises the key question:
just which well-defined
collections are sets?



6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Zermelo-Frankel Set Theory

No simple answer, but the axioms of Zermelo-Frankel along with the Choice axiom (ZFC) do a pretty good job.



Albert R Meyer, February 19, 2010

lec 3F.8

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Zermelo-Frankel Set Theory

According to ZF, the elements of a set have to be "simpler" than the set itself. In particular,

no set is a member of itself.



Albert R Meyer, February 19, 2010

lec 3F.9

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Zermelo-Frankel Set Theory

This implies that

- (1) the collection of all sets is not a set, and
- (2) W equals the collection of all sets ...which is why it's not a set



Albert R Meyer, February 19, 2010

lec 3F.10

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

infinite sizes

Are infinite sets the "same size"?

NO, by Russell paradox variant:

Theorem: No surjective function from A to $\text{pow}(A)$, even for infinite A



Albert R Meyer, February 19, 2010

lec 3F.11

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

no surjection from A to $\text{pow}(A)$

Pf by contradiction: suppose surj fcn $f: A \rightarrow \text{pow}(A)$. Let

$W := \{a \in A \mid a \notin f(a)\}$, so

$a \in W$ iff $a \notin f(a)$.

f a surj, so $W = f(a_0)$, some $a_0 \in A$.

So, $a_0 \in f(a_0)$ iff $a_0 \notin f(a_0)$. ■



Albert R Meyer, February 19, 2010

lec 3F.12

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

$\{0,1\}^\omega$ is uncountable

A is countable iff can be listed a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots

same as surj fcn: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$

So $\{0,1\}^\omega$ is uncountable, because

$\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^\omega \rightarrow \text{pow}(\mathbb{N})$

surj surj bij ■



Albert R Meyer, February 19, 2010

lec 3F.13

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Team Problems

Problems 1–3



Albert R. Meyer, February 19, 2010

lec 3F.16

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

6.042J / 18.062J Mathematics for Computer Science
Spring 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.