## 10. Unbounded domain - non-rotating reflection from a solid boundary

 We consider the reflection from a solid boundary which is at some angle with the horizontal. Consider a two-dimensional solution

 $e^{-i\omega t + ikx + imz}$  aligning x with the horizontal wave vector  $k_H$ 

satisfying

$$
w_{zz} - R^2 w_{xx} = 0.
$$
  
with  $R^2 = \frac{N^2 - \omega^2}{\omega^2 - f^2}$  and  $m = \pm Rk.$ 

The lines of constant phase are  $\theta = kx+mg$ -ωt = constant or:

 $+kx\pm Rkz$ -ωt = constant

that is

$$
x \pm Rz = \left(\frac{\omega}{k}\right)t = \text{constant};
$$

energy propagates along the lines of constant phase

 $x\pm Rz = constant$  that is:





Figure by MIT OpenCourseWare. 1

These lines are the characteristics of the hyperbolic equation for w, i.e.

 $w = f(x+Rz) + g(x-Rz)$ 

Consider first a wave incident and reflected at the horizontal boundary  $z = 0$ , i.e. the xaxis



Figure by MIT OpenCourseWare.

ľ  $\vec{c}_{gi}$  downward: energy propagates along x+Rz=0. The incident wave number  $\vec{K}_i$  is perpendicular to  $\vec{c}_{gi}$  and upward, Energy is reflected along x-Rz, upward  $\vec{c}_{gr}$ . The reflected wave number  $\vec{\text{c}}_\text{gi}$  $\rightarrow$  $\vec{\text{c}}_{\text{gr}}$  $\rightarrow$  $K_r$  is downward.

 $\omega = N \cos\theta$  is conserved in the reflection.

 $\theta$  is the angle of  $\vec{K}$  with the horizontal.

As  $\omega$  is determined only by  $\theta$ , the angle to the horizontal,  $\vec{K}_i$  and  $\vec{K}_j$  $_{i}$  and  $K_r$  must form equal angles θ with the horizontal. In this particular case  $|\vec{\mathbf{K}}_i|cosθ = |\vec{\mathbf{K}}_r|cosθ$ .

We can demonstrate that  $\omega$  is conserved as follows.

Let us consider the more general case of a wall inclined to the horizontal  $z = ax$  and let us

consider a 2-D problem. Then continuity is simply  $u_x + w_z = 0$  and we can introduce a streamfunction ψ

$$
u = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}
$$

$$
\left\{ \begin{aligned} w = +\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \end{aligned} \right.
$$

The incident wave, in terms of  $\psi$ , is:

$$
\psi_I=\,\psi_{io}e^{i(k.x+m\cdot z\ -\ \omega_1 t)}
$$

and

$$
\psi_R = \psi_{ro} e^{i(k\chi + m_r z - \omega_r t)}
$$

The total wave field in the reflection is

$$
\psi_{\text{Total}} = \psi_I + \psi_R
$$

and on  $z = ax$   $\psi_T = constant = 0$  without loss of generality. Then

$$
\psi_{io} e^{i[(k_i + am_i)x - \omega_i t]} +
$$
  
+ 
$$
\psi_{ro} e^{i[(k_r + am_r)x - \omega_r t]} \equiv 0
$$

This is true only if

$$
\omega_{i} = \omega_{r}
$$
\n
$$
k_{i} + am_{i} = k_{r} + am_{r} \rightarrow k_{i} + \tan \alpha \ m_{i} = k_{r} + \tan \alpha \ m_{r}
$$
\n
$$
as \ a = \tan \alpha
$$
\n
$$
or \ k_{i} \cos \alpha + m_{i} \sin \alpha = k_{r} \cos \alpha + m_{r} \sin \alpha
$$

or  $\vec{K}_i \cdot \hat{i}_B = \vec{K}_r \cdot \hat{i}_B$  if  $\hat{i}_B$  is the unit vector along  $z = ax$ 

that is:

1.  $\omega$  is conserved in the reflection process

-> the angle of  $\vec{K}_r$  and  $\vec{K}_i$  to the horizontal must have the same magnitude  $\theta$ 

2. The component of  $\vec{K}_i$  and  $\rightarrow$  $\text{K}_{\text{r}}$  along the slope must be the same

Let us consider the geometry of the process:



Figure by MIT OpenCourseWare.

 $x = z \tan \theta = R z$  tan  $\theta = R$ 

$$
\theta = \tan^{-1} R \qquad \qquad \alpha = \tan^{-1} a
$$

The projection of  $K_i, K_r$  along the reflecting wall  $z = ax$  must be equal:  $\rightarrow$ 

$$
|\vec{K}_i|\cos[\tan^{-1} R - \tan^{-1} a] = |\vec{K}_r|\cos[\tan^{-1} R + \tan^{-1} a]
$$

We can evaluate this expression by geometry and the law of cosines:

$$
\cos\gamma = \frac{a^2 + b^2 - c^2}{2ab}
$$

4



Figure by MIT OpenCourseWare.

$$
\cos(\tan^{-1}R - \tan^{-1}a) = \frac{1 + R^2 + 1 + a^2 - (R - a)^2}{2\sqrt{1 + R^2}\sqrt{1 + a^2}} = \frac{1 + aR}{\sqrt{1 + R^2}\sqrt{1 + a^2}}
$$

$$
\cos(\tan^{-1}R + \tan^{-1}a) = \frac{1 + a^2 + 1 + R^2 - (R + a)^2}{2\sqrt{1 + R^2}\sqrt{1 + a^2}} = \frac{1 - aR}{\sqrt{1 + R^2}\sqrt{1 + a^2}}
$$



Figure by MIT OpenCourseWare.

And the above expression becomes:

$$
|\vec{K}_i\mid\!\frac{1+aR}{\sqrt{1+R^2}\,\sqrt{1+a^2}}\!=\!|\vec{K}_R\mid\!\frac{1-aR}{\sqrt{1+R^2}\,\sqrt{1+a^2}}
$$

or

$$
\mid\!\vec{K}_{R}\models\!\frac{1+aR}{1-aR}\!\mid\!\vec{K}_{i}\mid
$$

or

$$
k_{R} = \left(\frac{1 + aR}{1 - aR}\right)k_{i}
$$

$$
m_r = -\left(\frac{1 + aR}{1 - aR}\right) m_i
$$

The reflected wave number |  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{R}}\vartriangleright$  $\rightarrow$  $K_i |$ 

$$
=>\lambda_{\mathrm{R}}<\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

The wavelength shortens as a consequence of the reflection process.

Consider now the changes in group velocity  $\vec{c}_g$ 

 For the group velocity the component conserved is the component perpendicular to the wall as there cannot be an energy flux into the wall

$$
c_{gi} \downarrow_{wall} -c_{gr} \downarrow_{wall} = 0
$$

$$
c_{gi} \downarrow_{wall} = c_{gr} \downarrow_{wall}
$$



Figure by MIT OpenCourseWare.

$$
|\vec{c}_{gi}| \sin(\tan^{-1} R + \tan^{-1} a) = |\vec{c}_{gr}| \sin(\tan^{-1} R - \tan^{-1} a)
$$

$$
\quad \text{or} \quad
$$

$$
\vec{c}_{gr} = -\vec{c}_{gi} \quad \frac{(1 + aR)}{(1 - aR)}
$$

While  $\lambda$  shortens in the reflection process,  $\vec{c}_{gr}$  increases

Notice that if aR->1 the reflected  $\vec{c}_{gr}$  is very large. What does this mean? It means that the bottom coincides with the outgoing characteristics:  $z = ax \rightarrow z = R^{-1}x$ .

As aR  $\rightarrow$  1,  $\vec{c}_{gr}$  is very large,  $k_r$  is very large: the reflected wave is very small. The present inviscid analysis fails.

Rules for sloping bottom:

- 1. Angle  $\theta$  of  $\vec{c}_{gi}$ ,  $\vec{c}_{gr}$  with the vertical must be the same.  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\vec{\text{c}}_{\text{gr}}$
- 2. The components  $\perp$  to bottom must be equal

12.802 Wave Motion in the Ocean and the Atmosphere Spring 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: [http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.](http://ocw.mit.edu/terms)